

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Ross
<b>Given Name</b>	Sonny
<b>Person ID</b>	1286512
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Ross
<b>Given Name</b>	Sonny
<b>Person ID</b>	1286512
<b>Title</b>	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Ross
<b>Given Name</b>	Sonny
<b>Person ID</b>	1286512
<b>Title</b>	JP-P2 Heritage
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Ross
<b>Given Name</b>	Sonny
<b>Person ID</b>	1286512
<b>Title</b>	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Brown field sites to be considered first
<b>Family Name</b>	Ross
<b>Given Name</b>	Sonny
<b>Person ID</b>	1286512
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>This area is being decimated by continual developments. The overall satisfaction in living and working in this area is decreasing daily. What was a nice area to live is now becoming miserable - a sprawling mess. The building of additional 1100 extra homes is unthinkable. There is already massive contention about existing expansions and the roads are bottlenecks everywhere during certain times of the day. It can take upto 30 minutes to get from Mosley Common to Tyldesley, a couple of miles down the road.</p> <p>Trying to get onto Mosley Common roads from roads adjoining is very difficult especially in the early morning lunch time and early evening. There has already been accidents on the A580 at the end of Mosley Common and up the A580. The roads are not capable of handling such traffic.</p> <p>The green spaces around our "villages" are disappearing fast and as an asthma sufferer I know the air is getting worse. All the time I am hearing</p>

from long term residents saying they are looking to move away - is this what Burnham and his cronies want?

I think this decision is already set in stone and nothing will change whatever people say. Greater Manchester do not care what Residents want as long as they are hitting government targets. Even this online "consultation" is designed to put people off from talking part - just trying to confuse people, too complicated, badly structured, takes too long and worded it in such a way to get people to give up part way through. It should have been written to be resident friendly - not positive decision friendly and not part of the "council prevention of feedback department". Paying lip service to feedback is not effective and although the Council may get their way - they are certainly losing the support by people who want to live and work in a nice area - not a gridlocked sprawling metropolis!

Our once lovely neighbourhood is collateral damage to target by the Government - at any cost ! I hope the planners get this and listen to the Public and actually spend time seeing the problems and damage already happening ... but I fear the worse as this may be just a lip service, tick box exercise!"

**Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

Consider another site location including developing brown field sites